Default Question Block
Abstract Title
Presenting Author/Resident or Fellow
Reviewer (Your) Name
Block 1
Clarity of Aims Clear statement of the problem and focus of the project; SMART Aims; Has a stated change target.
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Background Gives background information about the problem and provides up-to-date research and knowledge from the literature.

O Very Poor

<ul><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Measurement Describes selected measures for studying the processes and outcomes of the intervention(s), includes rationale and its validity and reliability. Describes data collection process. Provides results of baseline measurement.
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Design/Methods/Strategy Describes the intervention (or series of interventions) planned and implemented to improve the quality of care. Describes partnerships where relevant (project team). Explains strategy for improvement (PDSA, Root Cause Analysis, etc.).
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Results Provides a summary of results and run-chart/control chart showed or described. Describes the variation in data. Were the interventions responsible for any improvements? Compares results to baseline measurement(s).
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li></ul>

O Good Excellent
Conclusion  Did the project achieve its aims and relate it back to the literature? Did the authors discuss measures and balancing measures? Was a cost analysis discussed if relevant? Did the authors discuss how work will continue? Comment on how others could replicate? Next steps and whether further study in the field is required?
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Lessons and Limitations Discusses lessons learned from the project and its limitations. Comments on the strengths of the project. Describes any problems faced and if these were navigated. Suggestions for future work in this area. Describes whether chance, bias, or confounders affected results and whether there was any imprecision in the design or analysis of the project. Were there efforts made to minimize/adjust for any limitations?
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li><li>Excellent</li></ul>
Bonus Points Projects level of innovation
<ul><li>Very Poor</li><li>Poor</li><li>Fair</li><li>Good</li></ul>

0	Excellent
Ov	erall Rating
0	Very Poor
0	Poor
0	Fair
0	Good
0	Excellent
	commended for:
0	Poster
0	Oral Presentation
Ad	ditional Comments

©University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611 Terms of Use

Powered by Qualtrics